PRINCIPLES AND DIGEST OF THE

LAW OF EVIDENCE

Being a Commentary on the Indian Evidence Act (I of 1872)

with

Digest of New Case Law

Volume 1

By
CHIEF JUSTICE
M. MONIR

Revised
By **Justice Deoki Nandan**

LEGAL Classics FROM UBA

By M. Monir	1936
By M. Monir	1940
By M. Monir	1949
By H.L. Sarin	1958
By H.S. Ursekar	1975
By Deoki Nandan	1986
By Deoki Nandan	1989
By Deoki Nandan	1991
By Deoki Nandan	1992
By Deoki Nandan	1994
By Deoki Nandan	1995
By Deoki Nandan	1999
By Deoki Nandan	2001
	2002
	2004
	By M. Monir By M. Monir By H.L. Sarin By H.S. Ursekar By Deoki Nandan

© UNIVERSAL LAW PUBLISHING CO. PVT. LTD.

C-FF-1A, Ansal's Dilkhush Industrial Estate,

G.T. Karnal Road, Delhi-110 033 Tel: 2721 5334, 2743 8103, 2745 8529

Fax: 91-11-2745 9023 e-mail: unilaw@vsnl.com

Website: www.unilawbooks.com

This publication is being sold on the condition and understanding that the information, comments, and views it contains are merely for guidance and reference and must not be taken as having the authority of, or being binding in any way on, the author, editors, publishers, and sellers, who do not owe any responsibility whatsoever for any loss, damage, or distress to any person, whether or not a purchaser of this publication, on account of any action taken or not taken on the basis of this publication. Despite all the care taken, errors or omissions may have crept inadvertently into this publication. The publishers shall be obliged if any such error or omission is brought to their notice for possible correction in a future edition. In the case of binding defect, misprint, missing pages, etc., the publishers' liability is limited to replacement of the defective copy within one month of its purchase by a copy of the same edition or reprint. All disputes are subject to the jurisdiction of competent courts in Delhi.

Published by University Book Agency, Allahabad Printed at A.H. Wheeler & Co. Ltd. (Offset Unit), Allahabad

PREFACE

The Indian Evidence Act, 1872, bears the imprint of a master draftsman, *Sir James Fitzjames Stephen*, the distinguished jurist and legislator, and has the architectural symmetry of a well-designed piece of art.

The golden jubilee year edition of Chief Justice M. Monir's Principles and Digest of the Law of Evidence, was revised by Justice Deoki Nandan, retired judge of the Allahabad High Court in 1986. The revised and updated edition was a complete success. This edition has run through a print, after print which is a time tested tribute to a legal classic.

The tenth edition, while retaining the original arrangement and pattern of the book updates the law as pronounced by the Supreme Court of India and High Courts. The new sections have been incorporated at appropriate places, thus presenting a complete book, which stands for its clarity and conciseness.

Publisher

TO MEMBERS OF THE NOBLE PROFESSION OF LAW

PREFACE

The Indian Evidence Act is a unique piece of legislation, the like of which is not to be found anywhere in the world. In the symmetry of its structure, in the clearness and fullness of its outline, in the terseness of its expressions and the compactness of its subject-matter, the work stands out unrivalled and unparalleled. An idea of the conciseness and comprehensiveness of the work may be formed by the fact that in the 167, mostly single-sentenced sections of the Act, no important amendment of principle or detail has been deemed necessary, and hardly a question of evidence has even arisen for which a solution in these sections has not been found, thought the Act has been in force for more than a hundred and twenty five years.

The plan of the Act is perfectly simple. The Act is divided into three main parts: (I) Relevancy of Facts, (II) Proof and (III) Production and Effect of Evidence.

The Indian Evidence Act, 1872, has now been amended by the Information Technology Act, 2000. Certain sections of the Evidence Act have been substituted and certain new sections have been inserted *i.e.* Sections 3, 17, 22-A, 34, 35, 39, 47-A, 59, 65-A, 65-B, 67-A, 73-A, 81-A, 85-A, 85-B, 85-C, 88-A, 90-A and 131. The Banker's Book Evidence Act, 1891, has also been amended and all changes have been incorporated at appropriate places.

I have not disturbed the original work and arrangement of the book as this work is referred to where the law is in English world over. But I have added the latest Cases Law particularly the recent pronouncements of the Supreme Court and the High Courts.

Justice DEOKI NANDAN AGARWALA

THE INDIAN EVIDENCE (AMENDMENT) ACT, 2002

(4 of 2003)

[31st December, 2002]

An Act further to amend the Indian Evidence Act, 1872.

BE it enacted by Parliament in the Fifty-third Year of the Republic of India as follows:—

- 1. Short title.—This Act may be called the Indian Evidence (Amendment) Act, 2002.
- 2. Amendment of section 146.—In section 146 of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872 (1 of 1872) (hereinafter referred to as the principal Act), after clause (3), the following proviso shall be inserted, namely:—

"Provided that in a prosecution for rape or attempt to commit rape, it shall not be permissible to put questions in the cross-examination of the prosecutrix as to her general immoral character."

3. Amendment of section 155.—In section 155 of the principal Act, clause (4) shall be omitted.

PREFACE TO THE FOURTH EDITION

The last edition of this book was published in 1948 at Allahabad. And, though in the meantime the book has run through a reprint, the need for a revised edition has been felt for several years. In the present edition all legislative changes down to date have been incorporated and all important decisions added to the text which as far as possible has been preserved in its original form.

Due to my public preoccupations I have not been able to revise the proofs. My thanks are due to Mr. H.L. Sarin, Advocate of the Supreme Court of India, who had assisted me in the preparation of the last edition and on whom has fallen the main task of completing the manuscript and revising the final proofs for the present edition.

M. MONIR

39, Gulbarg V Lahore

PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION

The first edition of this book was published in January, 1936, and the whole st was exhausted by June, 1936. The book has thus been out of print for over two years July, 1937, the publishers had informed me that a reprint or a second edition of book would soon be needed; but in view of the adaptation of the Act by the Gow ment of India (Adaptation of Indian Laws) Order, 1937, and some important pronour ments by the Privy Council a reprint would have been out of date, and my increas professional and official engagements left me little time to bring out a second edit For these reasons this edition has been somewhat delayed, but the intervening time been utilized in thoroughly revising the work and bringing it up to date.

All cases have been cited in this edition by name; and though the interests of spatement of abolition of the system of comparative references, its popularity has been sole reason for the its retention. Owing to its bulk the book has ceased to be of muse for elementary reading and therefore the Introduction which was primarily mentally in the control of the control

for the use of students has been considerably cut down.

Some of the cases of 1940, reported so far, have been incorporated in the comm tary, while others have been collected in the Addenda. Two F.B. decisions of errent year, namely, Hakam v. Crown, I.L.R. 1940 L. 242 and Baldeo v. Emper

40 A.L.J. 241, require special notice. The Lahore High Court in the former and Allahabad High Court in the latter has held that Section 162 of the Code of Crimi Procedure pro tanto repeals Section 27 of the Evidence Act. So far as the Punjab concerned, a Bill has been introduced in the Legislative Assembly to amend Section 162 of the Code of Criminal Procedure to counteract the effect of the Lahore F decision.

Among other American books consulted for this edition are Corpus Juris and Blue Book of Evidence by Jones. The Commercial Documents Evidence Act, Act XI of 1939, appears as Appendix D.

I have been assisted in the preparation of this edition by M.A. Malak, Esq., Barter-at-Law, S.K. Ahmad, Esq., Barrister-at-Law and Mr. H.L. Sarin, B.A., L.L. Advocate of the Lahore High Court. No pains have been spared to avoid inaccurabut, notwithstanding this, if any errors are found in this book it is because they are in work of this character unavoidable. The responsibility for such errors and any of shortcomings is entirely mine.

M.MONIR

2, Begum Road Lahore August 31, 1940.

19

DEDICATED TO Shri Shiv Das Khanna [1901-1983]

CONTENTS OF VOLUME I

ing grant of the extraction of the object of Europe Court of

INTRODUCTION	•••	xxi to lvi
SYNOPSIS (Sections 1 to 100)		lvii to cxx
COMMENTARY ON THE EVIDENCE ACT		
Sections 1 to 100		1 to 1000

The Indian Evidence Act, 1872

CONTENTS

Preamble

PART I

Relevancy of Facts

CHAPTER I

Preliminary

Sections

- Short title, extent and commencement.
- [Repealed].
- Interpretation-clause.
 - "Court".
 - "Fact".
 - "Relevant".
 - "Fact is issue".
 - "Document".
 - "Evidence".
 - "Proved".
 - "Disproved".
 - "Not proved".
 - "India".
 - . "May presume".
 - "Shall presume".
 - "Conclusive proof".

CHAPTER II

Of the Relevancy of Facts

- 5. Evidence may be given of facts in issue and relevant facts.
- 6. Relevancy of facts forming part of same transaction.
- 7. Facts which are the occasion, cause or effect of facts in issue.
- 8. Motive, preparation and previous or subsequent conduct.
- Facts necessary to explain or introduce relevant facts.
- Things said or done by conspirator in reference to common design.
- 11. When facts not otherwise relevant become relevant.
- In suits for damages, facts tending to enable Court to determine amount are relevant.
- Facts relevant when right or custom is in question.
- 14. Facts showing existence of state of mine or body or bodily feeling.
- 15. Facts bearing on question whether act was accidental or intentional.
- 16. Existence of course of business when relevant.

Admissions

- 17. Admission defined.
- 18. Admission-

by party to proceeding or his agent;

by suitor in representative character;

by party interested in subject-matter;

by person from whom interest derived.

19. Admission by persons whose position must be proved as against party to suit.

20. Admissions by persons expressly referred to by party to suit.

- 21. Proof of admissions against persons making them, and by or on their behalf.
- 22. When oral admissions as to contents of documents are relevant.
- 23. Admissions in civil cases, when relevant.
- Confession caused by inducement, threat or promise, when irrelevant in criminal proceeding.
- 25. Confession to police officer not to be proved.
- 26. Confession by accused while in custody of police not to be proved against him.
- 27. How much of information received from accused may be proved.
- Confession made after removal of impression caused by inducement, threat of promise, relevant.
- Confession otherwise relevant not to become irrelevant because of promise of secrecy, etc.
- Consideration of proved confession affecting person making it and others jointly under trial for same offence.
- 31. Admissions not conclusive proof, but may estop.

Statements by persons

Who cannot be called as witnesses

 Cases in which statement of relevant fact by person who is dead or cannot be found, etc., irrelevant.

When it relates to cause of death;

or is made in course of business;

or against interest of maker;

or gives opinion as to public, right or custom or matters of general interest;

or relates to existence of relationship;

or is made in will or deed relating to family affairs;

or in document relating to transaction mentioned in Section 13, clause (a);

or is made by several persons, and expresses feelings relevant to matter in question.

Relevancy of certain evidence for proving, in subsequent proceeding, the truth
of facts therein stated.

Statements made under special circumstances

- 34. Entries in books of account when relevant.
- 35. Relevancy of entry in public record, made in performance of duty.
- 36. Relevancy of statements in maps, charts and plans.

- Relevancy of statement as to fact of public nature, contained in certain Acts or notifications.
- 38. Relevancy of statements as to any law contained in law-books.

How much of a statement is to be proved

 What evidence to be given when statement forms part of a conversation, document, book or series of letters or papers.

Judgments of Courts of Justice, when relevant

40. Previous judgments relevant to bar a second suit or trial.

41. Relevancy of certain judgments in probate, etc., jurisdiction.

- 42. Relevancy and effect of judgments, orders or decrees, other than those mentioned in Section 41.
- 43. Judgments, etc., other than those mentioned in Sections 40 to 42, when relevant.
- 44. Fraud or collusion in obtaining judgment or incompetency of Court, may be proved _

Opinions of third persons when relevant

Opinions of experts.

- 46. Facts bearing upon opinions of experts.
- 47. Opinion as to handwriting, when relevant.
- 48. Opinion as to existence of right or custom, when relevant.
- 49. Opinion as to usages, tenets, etc., when relevant.
- 50. Opinion on relationship, when relevant.
- 51. Grounds of opinion, when relevant.

Character when relevant

- 52. In civil cases character to prove conduct imputed, irrelevant.
- 53. In criminal cases previous good character relevant.
- 54. Previous bad character not relevant, except in reply.

55. Character as affecting damages.

PART II On Proof CHAPTER III

Facts which need not to proved

- 56. Facts judicially noticeable need not be proved.
- 57. Facts of which Court must take judicial notice.
- 58. Facts admitted need not be proved.

CHAPTER IV Of Oral Evidence

59. Proof of facts by oral evidence.

Sections .

60. Oral evidence must be direct.

* CHAPTER V

Of Documentary Evidence

- 61. Proof of contents of documents.
- 62. Primary evidence.
- 63. Secondary evidence.
- 64. Proof of documents by primary evidence.
- 65. Cases in which secondary evidence relating to documents may be given.
- 66. Rules as to notice to produce.
- Proof of signature and handwriting of person alleged to have signed or written document Produced.
- 68. Proof of execution of document required by law to be attested.
- 69. Proof where no attesting witness found.
- 70. Admission of execution by party to attested document.
- 71. Proof when attesting witness denies the execution.
- 72. Proof of document not required by law to be attested.
- 73. Comparison of signature, writing or seal with others, admitted or proved.

Public Documents

- 74. Public documents.
- 75. Private documents.
- 76. Certified copies of documents.
- 77. Proof of documents by production of certified copies.
- 78. Proof of other official documents.

Presumption as to document

- Presumption as to genuineness of certified copies.
- 80. Presumption as to documents produced as record of evidence.
- Presumption as to Gazette, newspapers, private Acts of Parliament and other documents.
- Presumption as to document admissible in England without proof of seal or signature.
- 83. Presumption as to maps or plans made by authority of Government.
- 84. Presumption as to collections of laws and reports of decisions.
- 85. Presumption as to powers-of-attorney.
- 86. Presumption as to certified copies of foreign judicial record.
- 87. Presumption as to books, maps and charts.
- 88. Presumption as to telegraphic messages.
- 89. Presumption as to due execution, etc., of documents not produced.
- 90. Presumption as to documents thirty years old.

CHAPTER VI .

Of the exclusion of oral by documentary evidence

91. Evidence of terms of contracts, grants and other dispositions of property reduced to form of document.

92. Exclusion of evidence of oral agreement.

- 93. Exclusion of evidence to explain or amend ambiguous document.
- 94. Exclusion of evidence against application of document to existing facts.

95. Evidence as to document unmeaning in reference to existing facts.

96. Evidence as to application of language which can apply to one only of several

97. Evidence as to application of language to one of two sets of facts, to neither of

which the whole correctly applies.

98. Evidence as to meaning of illegible characters, etc.

- 99. Who may give evidence of agreement varying terms of document.
- 100. Saving of provisions of Indian Succession Act relating to wills.

PART III

Production and Effect of Evidence

CHAPTER VII

Of the Burden of Proof

101. Burden of proof.

102. On whom burden of proof lies.

103. Burden of proof as to particular fact.

- 104. Burden of proving fact to be proved to make evidence admissible.
- Burden of proving that case of accused comes within exceptions.

Burden of proving fact especially within knowledge.

- 107. Burden of proving death of person known to have been alive within thirty years.
- 108. Burden of proving that person is alive who has not been heard of for seven
- 109. Burden of proof as to relationship in the cases of partners landlord and tenant, principal and agent.

110. Burden of proof as to ownership.

111. Proof of good faith in transactions where one party is in relation to active confidence.

111-A. Presumption as to certain offences.

112. Birth during marriage, conclusive proof of the legitimacy.

113. Proof of cession of territory.

113-A. Presumption as to abetment of suicide by a married woman.

114. Court may presume existence of certain facts.

114-A. Presumption as to absence of consent in certain prosecutions for rape.

CHAPTER VIII Estoppel

115. Estoppel.

- Estoppel of tenant;
 and of licensee of person in possession.
- 117. Estoppel of acceptor of bill of exchange, bailee or licensee.

CHAPTER IX Of witnesses

- 118. Who may testify.
- 119. Dumb witnesses.
- Parties to civil suit and their wives or husbands:
 Husband or wife of person under criminal trial.
- 121. Judges and Magistrates.
- 122. Communications during marriage.
- 123. Evidence as to affairs of State.
- 124. Official communications.
- 125. Information as to commission of offences.
- 126. Professional communications.
- 127. Section 126 to apply to interpreters, etc.
- 128. Privilege not waived by volunteering evidence.
- 129. Confidential communications with legal advisers.
- 130. Production of title-deeds of witness not a party.
- Production of documents which another person, having possession, could refuse to produce.
- 132. Witness not excused from answering on ground that answer will criminate.
- 133. Accomplice.
- 134. Number of witnesses.

CHAPTER X Of the Examination of Witnesses

- 135. Order of production and examination of witnesses.
- 136. Judge to decide as to admissibility of evidence.
- 137. Examination-in-chief.
 - Cross-examination.
 - Re-examination.
- Order of examinations.
 Direction of re-examination.
- 139. Cross examination of person called to produce a document.
- 140. Witness of character.
- 141. Leading questions.
- 142. When they must not be asked.
- 143. When they may be asked.
- 144. Evidence as to matters in writing.
- 145. Cross-examination as to previous statements in writing.

- 146. Ouestions lawful in cross-examination.
- 147. When witness to be compelled to answer.
- 148. Court to decide when question shall be asked and when witness compelled to answer.
- 149. Ouestion not to be asked without reasonable grounds.
- 150. Procedure of Court in case of question being asked without reasonable grounds.
- 151. Indecent and scandalous questions.
- 152. Questions intended to insult or annoy.
- 153. Exclusion of evidence to contradict answers to questions testing veracity.
- 154. Question by party to his own witness.
- 155. Impeaching credit to witness.
- 156. Questions tending to corroborate evidence of relevant fact, admissible.
- 157. Former statements of witness may be proved to corroborate later testimony as to same fact.
- 158. What matters may be proved in connection with proved statement relevant under Section 32 or 33.
- Refreshing memory.
- When witness may use copy of document to refresh memory.

 160. Testimony to facts stated in document mentioned in Section 159.
- 161. Right of adverse party as to writing used to refresh memory.
- 162. Production of documents.

 Translation of documents.
- 163. Giving, as evidence, of document called for and produced on notice.
- 64. Using, as evidence, of document production of which was refused on notice.
- 65. Judge's power to put questions or order production.
- 66. Power of jury of assessors to put questions.

CHAPTER XI Of improper Admission and Rejection of Evidence

67. No new trial for improper admission or rejection of evidence.

SCHEDULE-[Repealed]